L R

(1891-92) 15/8/91

7-12

Siri Appel[illegible] Konna Gowda
of Hirekere Honakal murs
Taluk, against the order
of the Deputy Commissioner
removing him from the office of
Patel:

2

7. 124-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92
~~192~~.
(L. R.)

File No

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-10

Part B for November 1892

SUBJECT.

Appeal by Ankanna Gowda of Hirikere Kolalakalmuru Taluk, against the order of the Deputy Commr removing him from the office of Patel.

To Mariamma, wife of Ankanna Gowda, Hirikere, Kolalakalmuru Taluk.

Dated 15-11-1892

Informing That her minor son's name will be registered as Patel

N. 20. 10

Corrected hulk.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

M No 638 of 1891

A P L

A P Kanna Gowda

Of Hirakeri

Hiregan Manakal Murdaly

Chellakeray D C

Petitioner who was Patel of the village received on 24th Dec 1890 No 5-4 upon one appakka on account of his not having failed to credit it in the accounts till after then who a complaint about it - i-e 1st July 1891 1892 - Then fact having been clearly proved, the Dy Com dismissed him from the office - The appeal is against the order -

The appellant has adduced no ground for allering the decision of the Dy Com - The appeal is therefore dismissed

Order

Dr is informed that his appeal has been dismissed

29.7.92

11

M 1088 — of 1891 — 93

Applt

Maryamma
wife of
A.K. Ramaswara

Applt's husband who is still alive was dismissed from office of Patail on 5th March 1891, on a charge of having ~~embezzled~~ failed to credit Rs 5-4- paid by a certain individual tho there was a complaint about it a month afterwards.—

Petitioner requests that the appointment may be registered in the name of her nominee son Viraptha, & her brother ordered to do duties for him.—

There is no objection to the office being registered in the name of Viraptha as requested & the ~~order~~ Dy Coll may be requested to register accordingly — ~~Her request~~ &

regrets her other request that her
brother may be allowed to do
duties on behalf of the minor, the
Dy Const will be requested to consider
the matter & pass such order as he
may think proper.—

4/11/92

7/11

Ld
informed that her
Mrs ~~regard to the Dy Const~~ —
~~Hers~~ minor sons names will be
registered as Patail — As regards
her request that her brother may
be allowed to do duties on
her behalf, the Dy Const has
been requested to consider the
matter & pass such order
as he may think proper.—

7/11

No. 22 K

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Submitted 10/9/91

The accompanying Petition No. 638 dated 31 July 91 received at the Dewan's Office from Hillanna Gowda residing in Kiray Kere, Gubbi Hobli Kalmuru TK

is forwarded, together with its 2 enclosures, to the Deputy Commr, Tumkur for submission of Proceedings with a report thereon.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 5th Septr 1891.

10

Drafted as per Commr's order - 3

Gilly.

AR

Mar C. Krishnaswamy
2/7/91

Two Fanam stamp affixed. No.

Appeal No 45 638

The Petition of Bryant-
Appellant
Petn No 5 of 91

The humble petition
of Akkamma. praying
appointing Karayma by
Malabar municipality
of Chittur by Patta
by Patta
known as the
rules of the D
Commissioner of Chitt
bearing date 21-1-91
+ 29-3-91 on the following grounds:

1. That the decision of the Deputy Commissioner that the Petitioner mis-appropriated the sum of Rs 2-7-0 is not supported by any evidence -

2. That the Deputy Commissioner erred in holding that a sum of Rs 5-4-0 was collected and misappropriated by him -

3. That

Filed Cashkeeper's office
Calicut
Petition lodged by Advocate
Date 1 Court Fee Stamps
Rs Annas Value Cancelled
21/7/91
Case for Disp.
21/7/91

OFFICE OF THE
3 - JUL 91

3. That there is no satisfactory
evidence on record to shew that
the Petr was guilty of any
dishonest malice

4. That the evidence on record
is not sufficient & sufficient
to shew him guilty of any
Misfeasance.

5. That the order of the deputy
Commissr in dismissing him
from the service ought to be

The Petr for the foregoing
reasons prays that
Your honour may be
pleased to set aside the
Order complained of & restore
him to his service of Patna

C Krishna Murthi
Advocate for Petr

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸಕ್ 189 / ನೇ ಡಿಸಿವಿ ಬೆಂಬ5

ವಾದಿ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದುತನಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾಗ್ಯು, ಮತ್ತು ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಚಿಕರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತಾವಜು ನಲ್ಲಿರೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕು ನಮಗಾ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ನನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಆದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ
ಸಕ್ 189 ನೇ ಡಿಸಿವಿ

ಆಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಜ್ಞಮ ಆದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿ ಆದಾರೆ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

No. 638

Endorsement.

In reply to ~~his~~ Petition dated 3rd July/91 M/s
Krishna Murthi, Advocate for petitioner
Allamanda Sonda, residing in Bicy Kera
Mulkalanur T/K, is informed that
~~is informed that~~ his petition has been forwarded
to the Dy Comm^r for submission Vouchers

By Order,

for Chief Secretary.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
Recd 189 .
10
Drafted as per
Councillor's order

AR

Noted.

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF THE
8 - DEC. 91
P. 23
SAN JOSÉ

8417

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bailadores, Venezuela

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Imperial

Dated 1st December, 91

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 6 with Vernacular Press

General No. 411

No. 391.

I have the honour to inform you that no official communication has been received from the Government of Venezuela in connection with the complaint of Mookanna and others against the Patel of Mookanna Gorola of

As requested in the above, I have the honour to submit herewith the proceedings connected with the complaint of Mookanna and others against the Patel of Mookanna Gorola of

Submitted to the Council

9/12/91

Re-submitted with notes in the margin & the precis.

case under Sec: 31 Police and Revenue

1/2/92

Put up to the Coll. (Central). Some notes to

2/1/92

19th May 92

2/5/92

32

8-12-91

(7)

of Kreisrevisali
village in the
Kolozsmarcsi Valley
together with a free
thereof.

2. The appeal petition
received with the
memorandum under
reply is also herewith
returned.

Budapest 8/IV
Deputy Commissioner
Finanzrat Zsuk

Precis of papers connected with the complaint of Ruthkanna and others against the Patel Shivanagouda of Hirekerakalip village in the Ranebennur Taluk—

Pet. Ruthkanna's petition dated 3rd December, 90.

On 31st December, 90, one Ruthkanna of Hirekerakalip village in the Ranebennur Taluk complained to the Amildar that he was the recipient of Shanbhog Watan in the village of Hirekerakalip and that Shivanagouda Patel of Hirekerakalip on 21st December 1890, on account of the December Shist, had in acknowledgment of the receipt of the said Shist amount, the Patel had made an entry in his Patta, that Rudrappa Shanbhog Hanumanthappa of that village demanded from him the Kandayam for the Revenue Office against that (the Petitioner) item. Hearing that the demand

Submitted—

The Petition is merely to the effect that—

1. he paid Rs 2"-7"-0 to Patel Shivanagouda in the month of Dec. 90 on account of that months Shist due for his Patta in the name of Shanbhog Lakshmappa

2. he (Petr) obtained a receipt for the amount.

3. Shanbhog Hanumanthappa demands payment again saying that the amount has not been entered in his Kirdi & remitted to the Treasury.

On 31st Dec 90. the Shanbhog also presented a report to the Amildar that on demanding payment Ruthkanna showed his Patta for having paid the money on 21st idem. & that the words "೨೧ಕ್ಕೆ ೩" (Kirdi Patra) resemble his writing.

he need finish the Pole had not been decided in the Circle and stated that necessary enquiries might be held in the matter -

The Assistant necessity required into the matter and the Pole stated before that on the morning of the 21st December 1893, he the Petitioner and Thanjiyan were in the sitting Chamber, that the petitioner brought the Case of Exhibit 2-7-, and stated it to him, that the Patta was with the Thanjiyan at the time, that the Thanjiyan entered in the Pole, the number of the Circle and gave the Patta to the Pole for writing the Kist entries in it, that he (the Pole) wrote it correctly and returned the Case to the petitioner, that the (the Pole) was

Depositions of the Pole before the Assistant dated 5th December 1893 -

Submitted -
Mr Patel has stated that his Thumbhoj wrote in his Patta his words "Kirdi Patra" (Kirdi Pafe) merely & gave it to him for making his Kist Entries. Nothing is stated about his number.

Mean annual Temperature
that the Thermometer shall
indicate the annual Mean
Pressure shall that the Rain
not above 30 and that the
(the Rain) would require
Produce the said annual
which I am with Sir...

Thermometer Examinations
Objections dated 31st
December 1841 —

The Thermometer stated
that the Mean annual
Temperature shall be said annual
Rainy Mean paid to the
Rain by the Barometer, that
the Rain was not with Sir
that the writing "Rain"
in the Rain that a
Recommendation to his own
writing Rain it was not
his; that the Rain might
have written in that the
Temperature the Rain
Rain from the Barometer
because the Thermometer
Mean writing about the
Rain Rainy, because the
Rain not because the

account book was seen
executed in the Peisash -
The Commissioner stated
that when he was in -
-formed by the Peon and
the Headman that -
Lakshmappa* of Peisash
had not paid his kist
for December he -contin-
-ued on to (Lakshmappa)
demanded the money -
according to that (the
Headman) did not see
who received the money
and what took place -
afterwards as he was
otherwise engaged at the
time -

The Commissioner in Continuing
the Peon's Report stated
on the 2nd December, 91
Ramrao Dawn of money
was Collected on account
of the December Kist and
remitted to the Taluk Treasury
on the 26th December that

Continued Objection dated
3rd December, 91 -

note -

* Lakshmappa was first sent for by the Shetty. He said that he had transferred his land to Mukkanna. Then Mukkanna was asked -

Submitted -
The shekdar states -
That the Patel & the Shanbhog were both sitting together collecting work when Mukkanna brought & gave the money, that he (shetty) did not observe who actually counted the money & gave the receipt, & that he was seated separately.

Continued Report Dated 31st January 1891 -

The

the above Recognizance was taken
the Kantagram aforesaid
entered on the 22d December
also that the said
Annabel Harvey received
the Amount in question on
the 21st December last
from Mary Ann Ely on the 21st
December, after the forfeiture
Confirmed that Ann Ann was
against him, that on
several occasion in the
village (the Quarter)
Came to Knows that the
Rebel was not a Quarter
mile near was in Ar-
rest was Charge of
unlawful Confinement and
the Justices recommended
that the Prisoner should be
Recorded punished depart-
-mentally and that the
Recognizance Entered into
also be returned

Deputy Commissioners
under the Act 7 & 8 Vict. c. 101

The Reply the Justices
were directed to enquire

the Rebel for 2 years

receive a file of Papers
from the Chancellor and
it was remarked that the
Court must examine that
the Complainant amount
had been received by him
and that he must furnish
to remit the same with
other Government money
to the Last Treasury, but
from the facts of the Case.
it was clear that the
Court was indubitably
retained Government money
until the fraud complained
was made against him.
That from the Statements
of the Complainant and
the Solicitor it was clear
that the Chancellor was
present when the Court
received the money and
that he (the Chancellor)
had failed to remit the
amount to the Treasury
at once-

Thandiyan Jamadar reported to the Amildar Bigha vide No 504 dated 13th January 1891 —

of Jain, on the 13th January 1891 the Thandiyan informed to the Amildar that on his Remaining Kandan an amount due by the Pathans of Bhatiana village on account of the Decided Rent the Thandiyan was told that Rupees 11-4-0 had already been paid to the Patel, who had acknowledged the same in the Book, that Rs 6-4-0 only had been credited in the Record and that the Patel had to remit the sum of Rs 5-4-0 out of Rupees 11-4-0 received by him on the 22nd December 1890 -

Note - With above report the Thandiyan sent the Rupees (Achakka) with his Receipt Book

Note - The Pathans — Rs 6/1 - paid on 21.12.90
Rs 5/4 — do - 24.12.90.

Athmanikal Registration before the Amildar dated 15th January 1891

The Thandiyan Achakka stated that the Amildar a Sowkar due to the Patel since and paid him Rs 5-4-0 that the Patel entered the Receipt in the Patta Book

was duly received to Sec-
tary of Navy, Washington
the Final Award Came and
Called for the Chinaman
amount again and that
the injured Man Died
the Next Day after the whole
amount to the Rebel -

The Rebel in the Negotiation Rebel attorney Con-tract
before the agreement & Expedition started 15th
stated that the Cause in January 1864 -
the Party were in Mis-
sion writing, that he
had signed the Same
Sent, that he Dear not
received the Sum of Rs
5-4- from Expeditor, that
the amount Share are
Day Came and asked him
to withdraw the Party which
he (Mana) said would
be given to the Mahakeen
and the money brought
from Rec immediately;
that he (the Rebel) according
work the Party and gave
it

it to the bank, that the
latter must pay with the
Pink but did not simply
the money. That as the
account had been closed the
above facts and the bankers
gave a different version
he (the Pike) would make
good the account in question.

dismissed, refer to No 32
dated 4th March 37.

The decision in dismissing
the appeal refused that
it was clear that the
Pike had received the value
of the Handsgram amount
by Receipt 1-4-0 the sum
credited in the month of
December only, and had
acknowledged the receipt in
the letter, that out of that
amount the Pike had
withdrawn against the
sum of Rupees 5-4-0 for
more than a month with-
-out receiving it at the time
necessary, that the the Pike
at first denied the

received the money and
afterwards fined the
same that he (the Pohit)
had been already confined
for 2 days in connection
with another Case for a
similar offence, that he
had also been fined Rs 5/-
in the Court of the General
Assistant Commissioner on
a charge of wrongful confinement
and that the Police Constable
had been very impolite
from the very beginning -

On enquiry the Assistant
was informed that the
records in the present
and previous Cases referred
to above clearly showed
that Pohit Chikangunda
was totally unfit to enter
the office of Police and
that he was accordingly
dismissed from the
office and that the Assist-
-ant Thanadar recommended
another

X - on 1st Feby 91.
N

Duty Commissioner's
order No 423 dated 2 9 91.

X For submission
The wording of the order
towards the conclusion
is to this effect -
From the above it is
not proper that
that he (Chikangunda)
should continue in
Police office & that
he is therefore dis-
-missed.
N

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 345

Name of Petitioner. Akkanagouda Kale Patel

Village. Hirekere

Taluk. Belkaldmara

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 9 — 8 — 92

Date of Receipt. 10 8 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Has not this case been disposed of? Rep. Dist. Rep. 20. 8. 92

The Petitioner recently asks for a review of the decision of 15th inst. Moved the Dist. Council for orders. Rep. Dist. Rep. 20/8/92.

The Secretary to Government has ordered as noted in the margin. Rep 20/8/92

Sd/-

Petitioner was Patel of Hirekere in Belkaldmara Taluk, Chitaldroog District. He was convicted by the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldroog on 15th August 1892 of embezzlement of Government money and sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for 6 months and a fine of Rs. 100. He appealed to the Commissioner for the Northern Division, who dismissed the appeal on 9th September 1892. He then petitioned the Government for review of the order, which was rejected on 20th August 1892.

Sd/-
12/8/92

ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು
ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ
ಸುಬ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟಣ

MYSORE IN...
PETITION...
REGD. ...
DATE 10-8-92

Court fee stamp

ಸೇವಂತಿ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆಯವರ ಮಗಳಾದ ಪದ್ಮಾವತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಅವರ ಗಂಡ ಶ್ರೀಧರ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆಯವರಿಗೆ

ಜಾರುದಿವಾಂಸಾಹೆಬ್ಬಳಂದವರಜನಾಶಿಗೆ

ಇತ್ತಲಾದಂಗಗ್ಗದ ತಕ್ಕೈಕಪ್ಪಲೈಮೊಳಕಾಲ್ಮುರುವುತಾಳುಳಿಪ್ಪುನ್ನ ಕೆಳುಪ್ಪೈ ಕ್ಷ ಪಾಂಕಿಕಿರಿಹ್ಯಾಪಾಪೆಲಲುಪ್ಪೆ
ವಗಗನುಬರದುಕೆಗಿಂತಹವಾಲ್ಲಲಿಜ್ಞ

ಈದರಿ - ಪಾಂರಿಕೆರೆಹ್ಯಾನಮದರ್ಪೈತಳ್ಳದ - ಮೊಳಕಾಲ್ಮುರ್ಕಿಯ ದಿನಲ್ಲಿಂಬರ್ಪವಪ್ಪಾಳಿಪ
ಅಜ್ಜಿಕ್ಕಿಯಂಬುವಳ್ಳಾನಂಬಿಕೆಮ್ಮಾಟ್ಟಿ - ಪೆಗ್ಗಾಬರ್ಪತ್ತೆಯಂಬಿನಂಬಿಕೆಮ್ಮಾಟ್ಟಿ - ಪೂಜಾರಿಕೆಲ್ಲಾದಲ್ಲಿ
ಲಂಸ್ಥಾಯಿಯವಾಗಿ - ನಾನುದಸತ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದನೆಂತ್ತಾ - ತಕ್ಕೈಕಪ್ಪೈಕಮಿಷನ್ನಾನವರು - ಬರತರ್ಪುವಾಗಿರಲ್ಲಿ
ತರುಮ್ಮಾಟ್ಟಿ ಪಂಸ್ಥಾನದಿಯಲ್ಲಿಲಪಲುಪಾಗಿಕೆಗಿಂಡುಯಿರ್ಪ್ಪರಲ್ಲಿಂಬಲು - ಪಂಸ್ಥಾನರುರಲಾದ್ಯ
ಪ್ಪುವಳ್ಳವತ್ತ - ಲಪ್ಪಕ್ಕುಂಮುನ್ನೈಕಾರಂಬಂಪಾಗಿಪಯಿರುವಪಾಸಿರೂಖರೂಬುಲಪ್ಪೈಗೆಲ
ಯಿಬತ್ತಿ

ಕಳ್ಳವಾಗಿ ಹೋಳಾಯಿರುವನಂಸಹವಾಲ್ಲಾವಮ್ಮಾಳಿ ಪಾರಂಬರವರ್ಗ್ಗ
ಕೆಳಪಿಯಿಪ್ಪೈಂಬಿಕಳಿಸಂನಾಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಿಸಂನ್ಯಾಸಿ ಪಾರವೈರಾಜುಮಾಡಿಬೇಕ್ಕು ಲಸುಖಿತಿತ್ತಿಕ್ಕೆ -
ಪಾರವೆಯಿಪ್ಪಲ್ಲಿ - ಪಾಲಮೆಡಿಬರ್ಪ್ಪೈಂಬಂಪತ್ತೆದವಳ್ಳಾಗ - ಶಿಬ್ರಪ್ರಕುಷಪಾಷರ್ಪ್ಪಿತ
ನಾದ ಶ್ರೀತನಿಸಿವಪಪೆಲಿಪ್ಪುತ್ತೆಕ್ಕುಂ ತಿಪುಡಿತಕ್ಕೆಮಿಷನ್ನಿರವರುಕೊಪ್ಪೈಂಬಿಲುವದಂನ್ಯಂಬರ್ಗ್ಗ
ಕ್ಕಾಬಿನಸಲ್ಲಿಪರಾಡಿಸ ಲಲಿಲುವರುಪೈವುಳ್ಳವೆಲ್ಲಿನರ್ನಂನ್ಯಮಗನಾದ ವಿರಪ್ಪಿನಪ್ಪೈರಿಸೇರಿ
ಪಿಪ್ಪೈಮತ್ತುರುನಿಂದ - ಲವನದ್ರಪ್ಪಿಬಸ್ಥಾನಲಸಿಲಾವಡಿವಿಸು - ಪ್ಪಾಳಿಕಿಬುವರಾನ್ನಿವಳ್ಳು
ಪಾರುವರಾಡುವಿಕೆಯಿಂದ - ಸಂನ್ಯಾಹಕ್ಕೆಪೂಜಿಗತವಡಿಪ್ಪೆಕಿಗಾಪ್ಪುಲ್ಲಿ - ಸರಿಖಿನಾದನಾನುಖಿಗಾ
ಬಂದರವರಲಂನ್ಯಪ್ರಭುವಿಂದ - ಲಿವನವರಿಕ್ಕಿಗಾಳುತ್ತಿನೆಂದುಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿನಪುತ್ತಿನೆ

ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಸರನಲ್ಲಿಯವು

ಆಳ್ಳಿಂಗನಲಹಳ್ಳಿ
ಪಂಸ್ಥಾನಪರ್ಯನೆಯ್ಯಿವಿ

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr,
Chitaldrug Dt.

Dated 15
Despatched 19 } Augt 92.
Received

Enclosures No. 1 file of 12 papers

General No. 2132
Pol. Dept. No. 124-92

Forwarding the appeal of
P. Krishnajoisa in civil suit,
Nanjaimma & Anr, against
the Dy Commr, removing
him from the office of Patel.

References to be marked.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 638 of 1891.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Akkanna Gowda
of Kirikere,
Kronakal Hobli Sub-Dy.
Chitaldrug District.

Decision. dt 4th Augt 92.

Petitioner was Patel of the village received on 5th Decr 90, Rs 5-4-0 from one Siddha on account of Govt assessment, but failed to credit it in the accounts till after there was a complaint about it. i.e, 1st Feby 91. This fact having been clearly proved, the Dy Comr dismissed him from his office. The present is against this order.

2. The Appellant has advanced no ground for altering the decision of the

Dy. Comm. The appeal is therefore dismissed.

"By Order"

Chief Secy.

2582

Ref. 124-32

Copy to the Dy. Comm. Chittagong &c with the enclosures received with his No. 411/391 dt. 1st Dec. 32.

"By Order"

Redraft

Chief Secretary.

A. S. No. 45

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
19 August 1892.

Endorsement granted to Kikkeri Gowda of Kireidene, Hoda-
kere hunse Taluk, Chikaldrug Distt,
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 538 dated July 1891.

Petitioner is informed that his appeal has been dis-
missed.

2. The encls: are returned.

"By Order,"

Re-draft

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
Oct 1892.

Endorsement granted to A. Ranna Gowda of Kirikere, Sa
rakere mara Taluk, Kitaling dist,
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 350 dated 9 Aug 92.

Petitioner is informed that the Govt decline to re-consider
the order already issued.

24/10/92

" By Order, "

for Chief

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

(General Serial No. 32.)

189?.

No. 1088

Name of Petitioner. Nanjamma wife of Akkiana [illegible]

Village. Rayadurgam Palya [illegible] Maps

Taluk. Molkalmuru

District. Chitaldrug

Date of Arzi. 27—10—92

Date of Receipt. 27—10—92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Complainant that her husband has been removed from office by the Dy. Commr. on a report by the Amildar. Prays that should he be considered culpable, the office may be registered in the name of one of her minor sons & her brother depated to perform the duties.

SMR
31/10/92

Submitted to the Council for orders.

Reference para 1
Please see Govt
Order dt 24.10.92 granted
to Akkamma
wife of Akkiana
Gauda husband
of the present
petitioner Nanjamma

VK
2/11/92

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9 92,—1000.

108802
27-10-92

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ — ಇಲ್ಲಿನ — ಯಿಲ್ಲಿನ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೋರಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ನಿಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ನೀಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ನಿಮಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ನೀಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ನಿಮಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ನೀಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ನಿಮಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಿಲ್ಲ.

ತಮ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಅಂಚೆಯಿಲ್ಲದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.....

will be requested to register accordingly.
As regards her other request that her brother may be allowed to do duties on behalf of the minor, the Deputy Commissioner will be requested to consider the matter and pass such orders as he may think proper.

By order
Mark 15/11
for Chief Secretary

No 6704
Pers 124

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner Chittagong
for info.

By order
Mark 15/11
for Chief Secretary

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Petn No. 1088 of 1892-93.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Maringamma, wife of Thimmanagowda of Thimmare, Molkalmuru Taluk.

Decision. 7-11-92.

Appellant's husband, who is still alive, was dismissed from office of Potail in Sarvari 1891, on a charge of having failed to credit Rs. 5-4-- paid by a certain individual till there was a complaint about it a month afterwards. Petitioner requests that the appointment may be registered in the name of her minor son Virappa, and her brother ordered to do duties for him.

2. There is no objection to the office being registered in the name of Virappa as requested, and the Deputy Commissioner

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
Nov 1892.

Endorsement granted to Narayanamma, wife of Abbakanna Gowda, Registered Patel of Hindiere, Bodikadanoor Taluk,
in reply to her Petition Registered No. 1058 dated 27-10-92

Petitioner is informed that her minor son's name will be registered as Patel. As regards her request that her brother may be allowed to do duties on her behalf, the Deputy Comm^r has been requested to consider the matter and pass such orders as he may think proper.

"By Order,"

Redraft C

15/11
for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.